CIVIL DOCKET

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

Jury demand date:

D. C. Form No. 106A Rev.

TITLE OF CASE	ATTORNEYS
	For plaintiff:
Home Indemnity Company, vs LeRoy Hopkins and Ralph Pretti	Alfred B. Knight and Richard D. Wagner 212 Beacon Building Tulsa, Okla. 74103
d/b/a One-Hour Martinizing and Paul B. Leggett	
	For defendant: Floyd L. Walker 405 Mayo Building Tulsa, Oklahoma 74103 C. D. Tomlins (Hopkins & Pretti) Box 310 Bixby, Okla. 74008

STATISTICAL RECORD	COSTS		DATE	name or receipt no.		REC.	DI	DISB.	
J.S. 5 mailed 3-1-70	Clerk	2.	2-16- -19-70	70 Knight) C/D 36	15	00	15	00	
J.S. 6 mailed - 1-70	Marshal								
Judgment on Ins. Cont.	Docket fee Witness fees	A ACCO							
Action arose at: Tulsa, Okla.	Depositions	And the second s							

DATE	PROCEEDINGS	Date Order or Judgment Noted
2-16-70	Complaint, filed. x summons issued x	
2-19-70	Return on summons, filed. Served Dr. Paul Leggett personally in Tuls Okla. on 2-18-70. (Fees: \$4.68)m	ja,
2-25-70	Return on service of summons, filed. Served Leroy Hopkins by serving his wife, at Overland Park, Kansas, on 2-18-70. \$11.20. ds	5
3-2-70	Defendant's motion to dismiss, filed. ds	
3-2-70 3-2-70	Defendant's brief in support of motion todismiss, filed. ds Minute Order: Ordered by the court that plaintiff reply with res- ponsive brief to defendant's motion to dismiss within ten days of this date. (AEB-J) ds	
3-12-70	Return on summons, filed. Returned unserved on Ralph Pretti as Mr. Pretti is deceased; and returned unexecuted on One Hour Martinizing,	
XxxXxxQ	as unable to locate. (Fees: \$7.76)m Applicationxtoxdismissxwithxpreywdisexxxtitedxxm	
3-12-70	Response to defts' motion to dismiss and brief in support thereof,	
J 12 10	filed. m	
3-17-70	Motion to dismiss of defts LeRoy Hopkins and Ralph Pretti, d/b/a One-Hour Martinizing, filed. m	
3-17-70	Brief in support of above motion to dismiss, filed. m	
3-17-70	Ordered that pltf. respond with brief to motion to dismiss of defts.	
3-26-70	LeRoy Hopkins and Ralph Pretti, etc., within 10 days. (AEB-J)m	
4-27-70	Response to defendants Hopkins and Pretti motion to dismiss, filed. Order Overruling Motion to Dismiss filed by defendants and Order	g
1 - 2 0	Consolidating filed and entered. It is ordered that the motion to	
	dismiss is overruled, and further ordered that this case is hereby consolidated with 70-C-51. (AEB-J)h	